

# Intangible Investments in Macroeconomics

Volkswirtschaftliches Institut, Universität Bern

Inauguraldissertation zur Erlangung der Würde eines

DOCTOR RERUM OECONOMICARUM

der Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaftlichen Fakultät

der Universität Bern

vorgelegt von André Kurt Bodmer

von Därligen, Bern

2018

Originaldokument gespeichert auf dem Webserver der Universitätsbibliothek Bern



Dieses Werk ist unter einem  
Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine kommerzielle Nutzung-Keine Bearbeitung 2.5  
Schweiz Lizenzvertrag lizenziert. Um die Lizenz anzusehen, gehen Sie bitte zu  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/> oder schicken Sie einen Brief an  
Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94105, USA.

## Urheberrechtlicher Hinweis

Dieses Dokument steht unter einer Lizenz der Creative Commons  
Namensnennung-Keine kommerzielle Nutzung-Keine Bearbeitung 2.5 Schweiz.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/>

Sie dürfen:



dieses Werk vervielfältigen, verbreiten und öffentlich zugänglich machen

Zu den folgenden Bedingungen:



**Namensnennung.** Sie müssen den Namen des Autors/Rechteinhabers in der von ihm festgelegten Weise nennen (wodurch aber nicht der Eindruck entstehen darf, Sie oder die Nutzung des Werkes durch Sie würden entlohnt).



**Keine kommerzielle Nutzung.** Dieses Werk darf nicht für kommerzielle Zwecke verwendet werden.



**Keine Bearbeitung.** Dieses Werk darf nicht bearbeitet oder in anderer Weise verändert werden.

Im Falle einer Verbreitung müssen Sie anderen die Lizenzbedingungen, unter welche dieses Werk fällt, mitteilen.

Jede der vorgenannten Bedingungen kann aufgehoben werden, sofern Sie die Einwilligung des Rechteinhabers dazu erhalten.

Diese Lizenz lässt die Urheberpersönlichkeitsrechte nach Schweizer Recht unberührt.

Eine ausführliche Fassung des Lizenzvertrags befindet sich unter  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ch/legalcode.de>

Die Fakultät hat diese Arbeit am 24. Mai 2018 auf Antrag der beiden  
Gutachter Prof. Dr. Harris Dellas und Prof. Dr. Apostolis Philippopoulos  
als Dissertation angenommen, ohne damit zu den darin ausgesprochenen  
Auffassungen Stellung nehmen zu wollen.



# Acknowledgments

First of all, I am deeply indebted to my supervisor Prof. Harris Dellas for his role in my doctoral work. Harris provided me with guidance, assistance, and expertise that I needed during the dissertation process. Harris gave me the freedom to do whatever I wanted, at the same time continuing to contribute valuable feedback, advice, and encouragement.

Further, I am very thankful to Dr. Guido Baldi, co-author of chapters 2-4, for the substantial influence on my research. I am particularly thankful for all his encouragement and support. I feel extremely privileged to work with Guido and hope that we can publish more articles in the near future.

Moreover, I gratefully acknowledge my colleagues at the department of economics in Bern for their time and valuable feedback on a preliminary version of this thesis. It was always helpful to debate ideas about my research with them.

Last but not least, my parents deserve a particular note of thanks: their wise counsel and kind words have, as always, served me well. Without them, this thesis would never have been written.

André Bodmer

Bern, March 2018



# List of Figures

2.1	Domestic neutral technology shock (Intangible model) . . . . .	13
2.2	Domestic neutral technology shock (Tangible model) . . . . .	14
3.1	Domestic neutral technology shock (Intangible model) . . . . .	36
3.2	Domestic neutral technology shock (Tangible model) . . . . .	37
3.3	Domestic technology shock to final goods production . . . . .	39
3.4	Domestic technology shock to intangible production sector . . . . .	40

## LIST OF FIGURES



# List of Tables

2.1	Choice of parameter values . . . . .	12
2.2	Standard deviations relative to GDP . . . . .	16
2.3	Cross-correlations between GDP and selected variables . . . . .	16
2.4	Cross-correlations between foreign and domestic variables . . . . .	17
3.1	Choice of parameter values . . . . .	34
3.2	Standard deviations relative to GDP . . . . .	42
3.3	Cross-correlations between GDP and selected variables . . . . .	43
3.4	Cross-correlations between foreign and domestic variables . . . . .	43
A.1	Moments calculations for tangible and intangible investments . . . . .	80
A.2	Summary statistics for fundamental variables: 1995:1-2014:3 (2005:1-2014:3 for intangible variables) . . . . .	81
B.1	Sensitivity to trade elasticity: summary statistics for funda- mental variables . . . . .	84
B.2	Sensitivity to sticky prices: summary statistics for fundamen- tal variables . . . . .	85
B.3	Sensitivity to share of intangible capital: summary statistics for fundamental variables . . . . .	86
E.1	Summary descriptive statistics 1986-2014 . . . . .	99
E.2	Summary descriptive statistics 2000-2014 . . . . .	100
E.3	Summary descriptive statistics 1986-2014 . . . . .	100
E.4	Summary descriptive statistics 2000-2014 . . . . .	101
E.5	Innovation leaders . . . . .	102

LIST OF TABLES

E.6	Innovation followers . . . . .	103
E.7	Moderate innovators . . . . .	104
E.8	Changes in cash flows . . . . .	105
E.9	Levels . . . . .	106
E.10	Innovation leaders: different firm sizes . . . . .	107
E.11	Innovation followers: different firm sizes . . . . .	108
E.12	Moderate innovators: different firm sizes . . . . .	109
E.13	Continental Western Europe . . . . .	110
E.14	Anglo-Saxon . . . . .	111
E.15	Scandinavia . . . . .	112
E.16	Southern Europe . . . . .	113
E.17	Central and Eastern Europe . . . . .	114

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Intangible investments and international business cycles</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	5
2.2	Model . . . . .	7
2.2.1	Households . . . . .	7
2.2.2	Firms . . . . .	8
2.3	Choice of parameter values . . . . .	11
2.4	Simulation results . . . . .	12
2.4.1	Impulse response function . . . . .	12
2.4.2	Quantitative findings . . . . .	15
2.5	Conclusion . . . . .	17
<b>3</b>	<b>Intangible capital formation, international equity investments, and output synchronization</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	19
3.2	Model . . . . .	23
3.2.1	Individuals . . . . .	23
3.2.2	Firms . . . . .	26
3.2.3	Monetary policy . . . . .	31
3.2.4	Foreign equity investment . . . . .	31
3.3	Choice of parameter values . . . . .	33
3.4	Quantitative results . . . . .	35
3.4.1	Impulse response functions . . . . .	35
3.4.2	Neutral technology shock . . . . .	35

## CONTENTS

3.4.3	Sector-specific technology shocks . . . . .	38
3.4.4	Moments . . . . .	41
3.5	Conclusion . . . . .	44
<b>4</b>	<b>R&amp;D investments and corporate cash holdings</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	45
4.2	Theoretical framework . . . . .	50
4.2.1	Model . . . . .	51
4.2.2	Results of the theoretical model . . . . .	55
4.3	Data and descriptive statistics . . . . .	56
4.4	Empirical model . . . . .	58
4.5	Results . . . . .	60
4.5.1	Main results . . . . .	60
4.5.2	An alternative grouping of countries . . . . .	63
4.6	Conclusion . . . . .	67
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>69</b>
	<b>A Data (Chapters 2&amp;3)</b>	<b>79</b>
	<b>B Sensitivity analyses (Chapters 2&amp;3)</b>	<b>83</b>
	<b>C Derivation of calvo pricing (Chapters 2&amp;3)</b>	<b>87</b>
	<b>D Derivations (Chapter 4)</b>	<b>95</b>
	<b>E Statistics (Chapter 4)</b>	<b>99</b>
	<b>F Statement of authorship</b>	<b>115</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Intangible capital is an increasingly important factor of production in advanced economies. Governments in Europe and elsewhere promote investment in intangible assets. However, the potential role of intangibles for business cycles and the international transmission of shocks is not well understood. In chapter 2<sup>1</sup>, we investigate the international business cycle effects of intangible capital. To this aim, we build an otherwise standard two-country real business cycle model augmented by a production sector for intangibles and allow for the non-rivalrous use of intangible capital in the production of final output goods and new intangibles. We find that a model including intangibles is associated with international co-movement of tangible investment, which is a feature observed in the data that many models fail to produce.

In chapter 3<sup>2</sup>, we analyze the effects of intangible investment on

---

<sup>1</sup>This chapter was published in the journal of international economics and economic policy, April 2017, Volume 14, Issue 2, pp. 211-219, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-016-0339-1> [26.2.2018]. The authors, Dr. Guido Baldi and André Bodmer, would like to thank the editors for useful comments. All remaining errors are the responsibility of the authors.

<sup>2</sup>This chapter was published as a discussion paper on the vwi webpage, June 2018, [https://edit.cms.unibe.ch/unibe/portal/fak\\_wiso/baep\\_wl/a\\_inst\\_wl/content/e195818/e195991/e556277/e687107/dp1810\\_g\\_er.pdf?preview=preview](https://edit.cms.unibe.ch/unibe/portal/fak_wiso/baep_wl/a_inst_wl/content/e195818/e195991/e556277/e687107/dp1810_g_er.pdf?preview=preview) [4.6.2018]. The authors, Dr. Guido Baldi and André Bodmer, would like to thank the editors for useful comments. All remaining errors are the responsibility of the authors.

international output synchronization. Using a dynamic stochastic general equilibrium model, we find that an increase in the importance of intangible capital leads to a higher degree of output co-movement across countries. Therefore, countries in which intangible capital is more important are better suited to economic integration, such as forming a monetary union. This offers an insightful perspective on the potential relation between the considerable differences in intangible capital among Eurozone members and the discussion surrounding the Eurozone as a suboptimal currency area. A high stock of intangible capital also tends to attract foreign equity investments, in particular foreign direct investments. We find that cross-border equity holdings in tangible and intangible capital further increase the degree of output synchronization. Our results imply that policy reforms to incentivize higher intangible capital formation and cross-border equity investments may not only foster economic growth but also improve the functioning of the monetary policy in the Eurozone.

Eventually, chapter 4<sup>3</sup> presents evidence about how research and development (R&D) expenditures affect corporate cash holdings in European country groups that differ in their innovation capacity. In theory, one can expect intangible investments such as R&D to result in higher cash stocks than fixed investments, particularly because intangible capital is less suitable as collateral for obtaining external funds. The relationship can be expected to be particularly strong in innovative countries. These countries carry out a relatively high proportion of cutting-edge R&D, which tends to be particularly risky and may be associated with substantial gestation lags before becoming productive. These features tend to increase firms' precautionary cash holdings. To investigate this issue in a European context, we examine different groups of countries that are clustered based on differences in their innovative capacity. Our estimation results confirm a

---

<sup>3</sup>This chapter excluding the theoretical framework was published in the journal of economics of innovation and new technology, September 2017, pp. 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2017.1378191> [26.2.2018]. The authors, Dr. Guido Baldi and André Bodmer, would like to thank the editors for useful comments. All remaining errors are the responsibility of the authors.

positive relation between changes in R&D investment and changes in cash holdings, while changes in fixed investment do not seem to be related to changes in cash positions. The impact of changes in R&D on cash tends to be higher for country groups characterized by a high level of innovative capacity than for countries with moderate levels of innovative capacity. However, the differences across country groups are less pronounced than expected.

To sound the empirical results, we develop in chapter 4 an overlapping generations model to explain the fundamental mechanisms of the empirical results. We analyze a model with two sectors of capital formation that differ in the nature of innovation. The first sector is long-term oriented and has the potential to produce innovations at the technological frontier. The second sector consists of less productive firms carrying out incremental innovations. Liquidity shocks may occur to long-term oriented firms, which leads to precautionary corporate cash holdings.

Beyond the empirical analyses, we use this model to analyze the evolution of productivity and corporate savings decisions. We find that a higher level of productivity in the long-term oriented sector results in higher corporate savings, while a higher productivity level in the short-term oriented sector leads to lower corporate savings in the long-term oriented sector. Thus, we find that corporate savings tend to be low when the technology gap between high- and low-productivity firms is low. This aspect has not been discussed in previous research. Our model also allows us to show that a higher level of technology leads to higher R&D investments, but it gets increasingly difficult to achieve productivity improvements.

The appendices provide additional materials for a better understanding over all chapters. On demand further documents will be provided.

## CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

The chapters are published under these links:

Chapter 2:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-016-0339-1>

Chapter 3:

[https://edit.cms.unibe.ch/unibe/portal/fak\\_wiso/b\\_dep\\_vwl/a\\_inst\\_vwl/content/e195818/e195991/e556277/e687107/dp1810\\_ger.pdf?preview=preview](https://edit.cms.unibe.ch/unibe/portal/fak_wiso/b_dep_vwl/a_inst_vwl/content/e195818/e195991/e556277/e687107/dp1810_ger.pdf?preview=preview)

Chapter 4:

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2017.1378191>



# Bibliography

- Aghion, P., Angeletos, G.-M., Banerjee, A. & Manova, K. (2010), ‘Volatility and Growth: Credit Constraints and the Composition of Investment’, *Journal of Monetary Economics* **57**(3), 246–265.
- Aghion, P. & Griffith, R. (2008), *Competition and Growth: Reconciling Theory and Evidence*, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Almeida, H. & Campello, M. (2007), ‘Financial Constraints, Asset Tangibility, and Corporate Investment’, *The Review of Financial Studies* **20**(5), 1429–1460.
- Almeida, H., Campello, M. & Weisbach, M. S. (2004), ‘The Cash Flow Sensitivity of Cash’, *The Journal of Finance* **59**(4), 1777–1804.
- Almeida, H., Campello, M. & Weisbach, M. S. (2011), ‘Corporate Financial and Investment Policies when Future Financing is not Frictionless’, *Journal of Corporate Finance* **17**(3), 675–693.
- Ambler, S., Cardia, E. & Zimmermann, C. (2004), ‘International Business Cycles: What are the Facts?’, *Journal of Monetary Economics* **51**(2), 257–276.
- Asker, J., Farre-Mensa, J. & Ljungqvist, A. (2015), ‘Corporate Investment and Stock Market Listing: A Puzzle?’, *The Review of Financial Studies* **28**(2), 342–390.
- Backus, D. K., Kehoe, P. J. & Kydland, F. E. (1992), ‘International Real Business Cycles’, *Journal of Political Economy* **100**(4), 745–775.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Backus, D., Kehoe, P. J. & Kydland, F. E. (1993), International Business Cycles: Theory and Evidence, Working Paper 4493, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Baldi, G. & Bodmer, A. (2017), 'Intangible Investments and International Business Cycles', *International Economics and Economic Policy* **14**(2), 211–219.
- Baldi, G., Fichtner, F., Michelsen, C. & Rieth, M. (2014), 'Weak Investment Dampens Europe's Growth', *DIW Economic Bulletin* **4**(7), 8–21.
- Baldi, G. & Miethe, J. (2015), Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth, DIW Roundup: Politik im Fokus 71, Berlin.
- Bates, T. W., Kahle, K. M. & Stulz, R. M. (2009), 'Why do U.S. Firms Hold so Much More Cash Than They Used to?', *The Journal of Finance* **64**(5), 1985–2021.
- Baum, C. F., Caglayan, M. & Talavera, O. (2013), 'The Effects of Future Capital Investment and R&D Expenditures on Firms' Liquidity', *Review of International Economics* **21**(3), 459–474.
- Baxter, M. & Farr, D. D. (2005), 'Variable Capital Utilization and International Business Cycles', *Journal of International Economics* **65**(2), 335–347.
- Becker, B. (2013), The Determinants of R&D Investment: A Survey of the Empirical Research, Discussion Paper Series 2013:09, Department of Economics, Loughborough University.
- Blundell, R. & Bond, S. (1998), 'Initial Conditions and Moment Restrictions in Dynamic Panel Data Models', *Journal of Econometrics* **87**(1), 115–143.
- Brown, J. R., Fazzari, S. M. & Petersen, B. C. (2009), 'Financing Innovation and Growth: Cash Flow, External Equity, and the 1990s R&D Boom', *Journal of Finance* **64**(1), 151–185.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Brown, J. R., Martinsson, G. & Petersen, B. C. (2012), ‘Do Financing Constraints Matter for R&D?’, *European Economic Review* **56**(8), 1512–1529.
- Brown, J. R. & Petersen, B. C. (2011), ‘Cash Holdings and R&D Smoothing’, *Journal of Corporate Finance* **17**(3), 694–709.
- Brown, J. R. & Petersen, B. C. (2015), ‘Which Investments do Firms Protect? Liquidity Management and Real Adjustments when Access to Finance falls Sharply’, *Journal of Financial Intermediation* **24**(4), 441–465.
- Calvo, G. A. (1983), ‘Staggered Prices in a Utility-Maximizing Framework’, *Journal of Monetary Economics* **12**(3), 383–398.
- Canova, F. & Ubide, A. J. (1998), ‘International Business Cycles, Financial Markets and Household Production’, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **22**(4), 545–572.
- Chari, V. V., Kehoe, P. J. & McGrattan, E. R. (2002), ‘Can Sticky Price Models Generate Volatile and Persistent Real Exchange Rates?’, *The Review of Economic Studies* **69**(3), 533–563.
- Cincera, M. & Ravet, J. (2010), ‘Financing Constraints and R&D Investments of Large Corporations in Europe and the US’, *Science and Public Policy* **37**(6), 455–466.
- Comin, D., Hobijn, B. & Rovito, E. (2006), Five Facts You Need to Know About Technology Diffusion, Working Paper 11928, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Corrado, C. A., Haskel, J., Iommi, M. & Jona, C. (2012), Intangible Capital and Growth in Advanced Economies: Measurement and Comparative Results, Working Paper DP9061, Centre for Economic Policy Research.
- Corrado, C., Haltiwanger, J. & Sichel, D. (2005), *Measuring Capital and Technology: An Expanded Framework*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Corrado, C., Haskel, J., Jona-Lasinio, C. & Iommi, M. (2013), 'Innovation and Intangible Investment in Europe, Japan, and the United States', *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* **29**(2), 261–286.
- Corrado, C., Hulten, C. & Sichel, D. (2009), 'Intangible Capital and U.S. Economic Growth', *Review of Income and Wealth* **55**(3), 661–685.
- Corsetti, G., Dedola, L. & Leduc, S. (2014), 'The International Dimension of Productivity and Demand Shocks in the US Economy', *Journal of the European Economic Association* **12**(1), 153–176.
- Czarnitzki, D. & Hottenrott, H. (2011), 'Financial Constraints: Routine Versus Cutting Edge R&D Investment', *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy* **20**(1), 121–157.
- Esping-Andersen, G. (1990), *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*, Polity Press, Cambridge (Massachusetts).
- European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) (2014), *Innovation in Transition*, Technical report.
- European Commission (2017), *European Innovation Scoreboard 2017: Methodology Report*, Technical report.
- Fagerberg, J. & Verspagen, B. (2014), *One Europe or Several? Causes and Consequences of the European Stagnation*, MERIT Working Papers 025, United Nations University - Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology (MERIT).
- Falato, A., Kadyrzhanova, D. & Sim, J. W. (2013), *Rising Intangible Capital, Shrinking Debt Capacity, and the US Corporate Savings Glut*, Working Paper 2013-67, Finance and Economics Discussion Series (FEDS).
- Fazzari, S. M., Hubbard, G. R. & Petersen, B. C. (1988), 'Investment, Financing Decisions, and Tax Policy', *American Economic Review* **78**(2), 200–205.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Fries, C. & Kappler, M. (2015), Does Foreign Direct Investment Synchronise Business Cycles? Results from a Panel Approach, Discussion Paper 15-031, ZWE - Centre for European Economic Research.
- Greenwood, J., Hercowitz, Z. & Huffman, G. W. (1988), 'Investment, Capacity Utilization, and the Real Business Cycle', *American Economic Review* **78**(3), 402–417.
- Hall, B. H. & Lerner, J. (2010), Chapter 14 - The Financing of R&D and Innovation, *in* B. H. Hall & N. Rosenberg, eds, 'Handbook of The Economics of Innovation', Vol. 1 of *Handbook of the Economics of Innovation*, North-Holland, pp. 609–639.
- Hall, P. & Soskice, D. (2001), *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Harford, J., Klasa, S. & Maxwell, W. F. (2014), 'Refinancing Risk and Cash Holdings', *Journal of Finance* **69**(3), 975–1012.
- Heathcote, J. & Perri, F. (2002), 'Financial Autarky and International Business Cycles', *Journal of Monetary Economics* **49**(3), 601–627.
- Heckman, J. J. & Jacobs, B. (2010), Policies to Create and Destroy Human Capital in Europe, Working Paper 15742, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Jensen, M. C. (1986), 'Agency Costs of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance, and Takeovers', *American Economic Review* **76**(2), 323–329.
- Johri, A., Letendre, M.-A. & Luo, D. (2011), 'Organizational Capital and the International Co-Movement of Investment', *Journal of Macroeconomics* **33**(4), 511–523.
- Kamien, M. I. & Schwartz, N. L. (1978), 'Self-Financing of an R&D Project', *American Economic Review* **68**(3), 252–261.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Kaplan, S. N. & Zingales, L. (2000), ‘Investment-Cash Flow Sensitivities are not Valid Measures of Financing Constraints’, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **115**(2), 707–712.
- Kehoe, P. J. & Perri, F. (2002), ‘International Business Cycles with Endogenous Incomplete Markets’, *Econometrica* **70**(3), 907–928.
- McGrattan, E. R. & Prescott, E. C. (2012), *The Labor Productivity Puzzle*, Hoover Institution Press.
- McGrattan, E. R. & Prescott, E. C. (2014), ‘A Reassessment of Real Business Cycle Theory’, *American Economic Review* **104**(5), 177–187.
- Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) (2015), ‘Lifting Investment for Higher Sustainable Growth’, *OECD Economic Outlook* **2015**(1).
- Phelps, E. S. & Sinn, H.-W. (2011), Introduction: Gauging and Explaining Economic Performance in Continental Europe, *in* E. S. Phelps & H.-W. Sinn, eds, ‘Perspectives on the Performance of the Continental Economies’, Vol. 1 of *MIT Press Books*, The MIT Press, pp. 1–26.
- Raffo, A. (2010), *Technology Shocks: Novel Implications for International Business Cycles*, Diane Publishing.
- Roodman, D. (2009), ‘How to do xtabond2: An Introduction to Difference and System GMM in Stata’, *Stata Journal* **9**(1), 86–136.
- Stein, J. C. (2003), Agency, Information and Corporate Investment, *in* G. Constantinides, M. Harris & R. M. Stulz, eds, ‘Handbook of the Economics of Finance’, Vol. 1 of *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, Elsevier, chapter 2, pp. 111–165.
- Thomson Financial (2013), ‘Worldscope Database’, *Datatype Definitions Guide (Issue 14.2)* (12th Dec 2013).
- Veugelers, R. (2016), The European Union’s Growing Innovation Divide, Bruegel Policy Contribution 2016/08, Brussels.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

# Appendix F

## Statement of authorship

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass ich diese Arbeit selbständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen benutzt habe. Alle Koautorenschaften sowie alle Stellen, die wörtlich oder sinngemäss aus Quellen entnommen wurden, habe ich als solche gekennzeichnet. Mir ist bekannt, dass andernfalls der Senat gemäss Artikel 36 Absatz 1 Buchstabe o des Gesetzes vom 5. September 1996 über die Universität zum Entzug des aufgrund dieser Arbeit verliehenen Titels berechtigt ist.

Bern, 26. März 2018



(André Bodmer)